



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,452	03/10/2004	Sam Baghdadi	2004P03672US	4438	
75	590 04/28/2005		EXAM	INER	
Siemens Corporation			PATEL, V	PATEL, VISHAL A	
Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3676		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/797,452	BAGHDADI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vishal Patel	3676			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	V 10 0ET TO EVDIDE 21	MONTH(S) EDOM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of the will expire SIX (6) MCs. cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
	_				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau		J			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date			
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/04.	5) \ Notice of 6) \ Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/797,452 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "a plurality of rows of blades" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 6, "a stationary" should be changed to "said stationary". Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,452 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-8, 11-13, 15 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kreitmeier (US. 5,102,298).

Kreitmeier discloses a seal comprising a plurality of blades (blades 12) extending radially from a rotatable body (1) and generally forming at least one row of blades (12 forms a row of blades), a plurality of blades (11) extending radially from a stationary body (2) towards the rotatable body and generally forming at least one row of blades (11 forms a row of blades), and the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body are positioned proximate to the plurality of blades extending from the rotatable body and are nonparallel with the plurality of blades extending from the rotatable body (the blades of the rotatable body are nonparallel to the blades of the stationary body).

The plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body are generally orthogonal to the plurality of blades extending from the rotatable body (the blades 12 are angled in one direction of a rotational axis of the rotatable body and the blades 11 are angled in an opposite direction to the rotational axis of the rotatable body).

The plurality of blades extending radially from the rotatable body are aligned at an angle between about 1 degree and about 89 degrees relative to a rotational axis of the rotatable body (the blades 12 are angled relative to the rotational axis).

Art Unit: 3676

The plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body are aligned at an angle of between about 1 degree and about 89 degrees relative to the rotational axis of the rotatable body (blades 11 are angled relative to the rotational axis).

The plurality of blades extending radially from the rotatable body forms a plurality of rows of blades (where each of 12 that is on the rotatable body 1).

The plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body forms a plurality of rows of blades (where each of 11 that is on the stationary body 2).

There is a gap between the blades of the rotatable body and the stationary body (gap between edge of the blades 12 and the stationary body 2). There is also a gap between the blades of the stationary body and the rotatable body (gap between edge of the blades 11 and the rotatable body).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4, 6, 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kreitmeier.

Kreitmeier discloses the claimed invention except that the angle of the blades on the rotatable and stationary body is about 60 degrees relative to the rotational axis of the rotatable body. Discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Without the showing of

some unexpected result. Since applicant has not shown some unexpected result the inclusion of this limitation is considered to be a matter of choice in design. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the angle to be 60 degrees as a matter of design choice.

7. Claims 9-10 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kreitmeier.

Kreitmeier discloses the claimed invention except that the gap between the blades of the rotatable body to the stationary is 0.6mm and the gap between the blades of the stationary body to the rotatable body is 0.6 mm. Discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Without the showing of some unexpected result. Since applicant has not shown some unexpected result the inclusion of this limitation is considered to be a matter of choice in design. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have to have a gap between the blades of the rotatable body to the stationary is 0.6mm and the gap between the blades of the stationary body to the rotatable body is 0.6 mm.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sumser et al, Dudebout et al, Liao et al, Orlando et al, Koehring and Winther.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishal Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-7060. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 PM to 4:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford, can be reached on (571) 272-7049.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Art Unit: 3676

Technology Center 3600 Customer Service is available at 703-308-1113. General Customer Service numbers are at 800-786-9199 or 703-308-9000. Fax Customer Service is available at 703-872-9325.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: 703-872-9326, for formal communications for entry before Final action: or, 703-872-9327, for formal communications for entry after Final action.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park Five, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Virginia, Seventh Floor (Receptionist suite adjacent to the elevator lobby).

VP April 25, 2005

> Vishal Patel Patent Examiner Tech. Center 3600